RENEWABLE NRG SYSTEMS

Characterization and Classification of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer for IEC 61400-12-1 Compliance



Characterization and Classification of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer for IEC 61400-12-1 Compliance

I. Abstract

Under the guidance of Troels Pedersen of DTU Wind Energy Department (formerly RISO National Laboratory), Renewable NRG Systems has characterized and classified the NRG Class 1 Anemometer, a ball bearing version of the NRG #40C Anemometer, according to the guidelines documented in the DTU Wind Energy Department's Accuwind reports and the IEC 61400-12-1 Standard for Power performance measurements of electricity producing wind turbines. Following the Accuwind method and the IEC 61400-12-1 Standard, the Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer are 1.01A and 8.44B, as summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Summary of Classification Indices for NRG Class 1 Anemometers

NRG Class 1 Anemometer S/N	Class A Index	Class B Index
S/N 047	1.07	8.07
S/N 066	0.948	8.80
Average Value	1.01	8.44

This white paper documents the results and supporting work to characterize and classify the NRG Class 1 Anemometer according to the guidelines documented in the DTU Wind Energy Department's Accuwind reports and the IEC 61400-12-1 Standard.

Table of Contents

١.	Abstract	. 2
١١.	Overview of the Anemometer Classification	. 4
III.	Measured NRG Class 1 Anemometer Input Data	. 5
١	Wind Tunnel Calibration	. 5
ļ	Angular or Off-Axis Response	. 5
F	Friction	. 7
٦	Torque	.9
IV.	Results	.9
V.	References	12
VI.	Attachments	13
l	Letter certifying classification of NRG Class 1 Anemometer	13
1	NRGBBO-047.cup Input File	14
1	NRGBBO-066.cup Input File	17

Characterization and Classification of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer for IEC 61400-12-1 Compliance

II. Overview of the Anemometer Classification

Anemometer classification is comprised of three (3) main steps:

- Quantifying the anemometer error subject to influence parameters including dynamic effects (due to wind turbulence), off-axis (non-horizontal) wind, and bearing friction effects. The method for quantifying anemometer error is based on the Tilt-Response & Torque-Coefficient (TRTC) model described in the DTU Wind Energy Department's Accuwind report (J.-Å. Dahlberg, May 2006). The influence parameters and ranges are summarized in Table 2 below.
- 2. Classifying the anemometer by assigning an index (k) that bounds the maximum anemometer error. The index is quantified using the following equation:

$$k = 100 \cdot \max \left| \frac{\epsilon_i}{\frac{U_i}{2} + 5 \text{ m/s}} \right|$$

where k is the Classification Index, ε_i is the anemometer error in wind speed bin *i*, U_i is the wind speed in bin *i*. The denominator in the above equation is the deviation envelope. So a Classification Index is assigned to an anemometer that bounds its maximum wind speed deviation subject to the stated influence parameters.

3. Classifying at least two (2) anemometers to determine the overall Classification Index of the anemometer per IEC 61400-12-1 (IEC 61400-12-1 Power performance measurements of electricity producing wind turbines). IEC 61400-12-1 Annex I states "At least two examples of a type of anemometer shall be assessed" for the classification of anemometry. Averaging the results of the two (2) classifications is an acceptable method for determining the overall anemometer Classification Indices.

Anemometer dynamics, off-axis response, and bearing friction are measured under laboratorycontrolled conditions (see Section entitled Measured NRG Class 1 Anemometer Input Data for details). These measurements are physical inputs into the TRTC anemometer model which quantifies and bounds the error of the anemometer, subject to the influence parameters. The operational range of the influence parameters are summarized in Table 2. "Class A" corresponds to flat terrain sites; "Class B" corresponds to complex terrain sites.

To meet the requirements of IEC 61400-12-1, two (2) NRG Class 1 Anemometers (S/N 047 and S/N 066) were characterized to determine the overall anemometer classification through averaging.

Classification	Cla	iss A	Class B		
Classification	Ideal flat t	terrain sites	Non-ideal complex terrain sites		
Category	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Wind speed range (m/s)	4	16	4	16	
Turbulence Intensity	0.03	0.12 + 0.48/V	0.03	0.12 + 0.96/V	
Turbulence Structure	1/0.	8/0.5	1,	/1/1	
$\sigma_u/\sigma_v/\sigma_w$	Non-isotrop	ic turbulence	Isotropic	turbulence	
	Kaimal wind s	pectrum with a	Von Karman wir	nd spectrum with a	
	longitudinal tu	rbulence scale of	longitudinal turbu	lence length scale of	
	35	50m	1	70m	
Air Temperature (°C)	0	40	-10	40	
Air Density (kg/m3)	0.9	1.35	0.9	1.35	
Average flow inclination (°)	Average flow -3 3		-15	15	

Table 2 Class A and B Operational Ranges

III. Measured NRG Class 1 Anemometer Input Data

Numerical values of all input data obtained from laboratory tests are included in the NRGBBO-047.cup and NRGBBO-066.cup input files (see attachments). The first five lines of the input file contain anemometer property data (cup radius, area, and moment of inertia) as well as calibration slope and offset. After line five, the input file includes three distinct blocks of measured data: torque, friction, and off-axis response. Data measured on two (2) NRG Class 1 Anemometers (S/N 047 and S/N 066) was used to classify the anemometer. Each of the measured input data is described below.

Wind Tunnel Calibration

The two (2) NRG Class 1 Anemometers were calibrated in Svend Ole Hansen's (SOH) Measnetcertified wind tunnel. Calibrations followed the protocol outlined in IEC 61400-12-1, Annex F. The calibration values for the two (2) anemometers are reported in Table 3 below.

Table 3 Summary of Calibration Values

NRG Class 1 Anemometer	Slope	Offset
S/N 047	0.76572	0.19924
S/N 066	0.76645	0.20439

Angular or Off-Axis Response

Off-axis response of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers was measured in the Svend Ole Hansen (SOH) Measnet-certified wind tunnel. Off-axis response measurements were taken statically, and not with

a constant sweep rate, which results in more accurate measurements. Throughout the off-axis response test, a minimum clearance distance of 0.55m is maintained between the anemometer head and any tunnel walls, ensuring reduced uncertainty in the measurements.

Off-axis response of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers was measured at 7, 10, and 13 m/s, subjected to tilt angles ranging from -30 to +30 degrees. A negative tilt angle indicates wind from above; a positive tilt angle indicates wind from below. The off-axis responses for the anemometers (S/N 047 and S/N 066) are plotted in Figure 1 and Figure 2.



Figure 1 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (SN-047) Tilt Response



Figure 2 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (SN-066) Tilt Response

Friction

The friction of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers was measured using an NRG-built flywheel decelerator, in accordance with Section 3.6 of the RISO-R-1556 report. The polynomial curve fits of the measured friction data of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers over a temperature range of -30°F to +40°F are plotted in Figure 3 and Figure 4.







Figure 4 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (S/N 066) Friction Data

8

<u>Torque</u>

Measured torque data includes frictional torque plus aerodynamic torque, the latter being the dominant source of torque. Torque data measured on the Rulon bearing NRG #40C anemometer was utilized for the NRG Class 1 Anemometer due to constraints in adjusting the torque data set. Specifically, it was not possible to subtract out the frictional torque from the original DTU Wind Energy Department's torque data of the NRG #40C Anemometer and subsequently add-in the frictional torque of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer.

The NRG Class 1 Anemometer employs the identical head as the NRG #40C Anemometer. As such, it is anticipated that the total torque of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer will be close to that of the NRG #40C Anemometer, since the aerodynamic torque dominates the total torque and aerodynamic torque is governed by the anemometer head. The approach of using the NRG #40C torque data for the NRG Class 1 Anemometer is conservative because the NRG Class 1 Anemometer possesses less frictional torque than the NRG #40C. Accounting for the difference in frictional torque would result in a small but improved classification index.

IV. Results

Using the measured anemometer data as inputs, AnemCq6.exe, an executable Fortran code supplied by Troels Pedersen of DTU Wind Energy Department, was used to quantify the bounded errors and associated Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer. This is the same program that was used in the Accuwind study.

The bounded errors and associated Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers (S/N 047 and S/N 066) are shown in Figure 5 through Figure 8. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the bounded errors and Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers subject to the Class A operational range of influence parameters. Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the bounded errors and Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometers B operational range of influence parameters.

9



Figure 5 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (S/N 047) Maximum Deviations Class A



Figure 6 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (S/N 066) Maximum Deviations Class A

10



Figure 7 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (S/N 047) Maximum Deviations Class B



Figure 8 NRG Class 1 Anemometer (S/N 066) Maximum Deviations Class B

Per IEC 61400-12-1, Annex I of the Standard states, "At least two examples of a type of anemometer shall be assessed" for the classification of anemometry. In compliance with this requirement, two (2) NRG Class 1 Anemometers (S/N 047 and S/N 066) were characterized to determine the anemometer classification. The Classification Indices of two (2) NRG Class 1 Anemometers (S/N 047 and S/N 066) are reported in Table 4. The average Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer are 1.01A and 8.44B.

NRG Class 1 Anemometer S/N	Class A Index	Class B Index
S/N 047	1.07	8.07
S/N 066	0.948	8.80
Average Value	1.01	8.44

Table 4 Summary of Classification Indices for NRG Class 1 Anemometers

Troels Pedersen's letter certifying the classification of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer is included in the attachments.

V. References

IEC 61400-12-1 Power performance measurements of electricity producing wind turbines. Geneva: International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC).

J.-Å. Dahlberg, T. P. (May 2006). ACCUWIND -Methods for Classification of Cup Anemometers (Risø-R-1555(EN)). Denmark: DTU Wind Energy Dept (formerly RISO National Laboratory).

Pedersen, T. (2012, May). AnemCq6.exe: Fortran code used to calculate the Classification Index. Denmark.

T.F. Pedersen, J.-Å. D. (May 2006). ACCUWIND -Classification of Five Cup Anemometers According to IEC61400-12-1 (Risø-R-1556(EN)). Denmark: DTU Wind Energy Dept (formerly RISO National Laboratory).

VI. Attachments

Letter certifying classification of NRG Class 1 Anemometer

Risø DTU



NRG Systems, Inc. 110 Riggs Road Hinesburg, Vermont 05461 USA Attention: Mr Steve Clark

11 May 2012

Concerning endorsement of classification of NRG Class 1 Anemometer

I herewith confirm participation in consultative assistance (through conference calls and written correspondence) with NRG Systems, Inc. in order for the company to implement and operate the cup anemometer classification Fortran code Anemcq6 based on the ACCUWIND Classification Method.

Under my guidance NRG Systems, Inc. has characterized and classified the NRG Class 1 Anemometer (a ball bearing version of the NRG 40C anemometer) according to the ACCUWIND methods documented in the reports Risø-R-1555 and Risø-R-1556 and the IEC61400-12-1 standard annex I.

NRG Systems, Inc. has calibrated the test anemometers s/n 047 and s/n 066 in the SOH wind tunnel (MEASNET wind tunnel). Tilt responses of the cup anemometers were also measured in the SOH wind tunnel. Additionally, NRG Systems, Inc. performed bearing friction measurements on their cup anemometers with ball bearings in their own flywheel test climate chamber. Rotor torque and rotor inertia measurements were not made on the test anemometers. Rotor torque measurements were based on the original torque measurements made by FOI in the ACCUWIND project on the ACCU-WIND NRG test anemometer, and were fitted to the ball bearing calibration conditions. Rotor inertia was based on the original rotor inertia measurement made by Risø in the ACCUWIND project on the same test anemometer. Data are presented in the NRG Systems, Inc. note: Characterization and Classification of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer for IEC61400-12-1 Compliance.

Following the ACCUWIND classification methods and the IEC61400-12-1 standard with the above derived data, the Classification Indices of the NRG Class 1 Anemometer were determined by NRG Systems, Inc. to be 1.07A and 8.07B and 0.948A and 8.80B, of the two cup anemometers respectively. The classification results were confirmed by my own calculations based on the same data. NRG Systems, Inc. combined the results and averaged the values to the final classification results 1.01A and 8.44B.

Sincerely

Vorte

Troels Friis Pedersen Professor DTU Wind Energy Department Risø Campus

Technical University of Denmark National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy Building 118, P.O. Box 49 Frederiksborgvej 399 DK-4000 Roskilde Denmark Tlf. +45 46 77 46 77 Fax +45 46 77 50 83 vea@risoe.dk www.risoe.dtu.dk

NRGBBO-047.cup Input File

0.0020 0.00201 2 R Area I N(pulses/rev) 0.76572 0.1924 31.5 1.16 Acal Bcal (U=Acal*f+Bcal) Tcal Denscal 0.1375 Ut Cup model (L QPol(hpol-talmQA0-pol-coeff) 2 QTable(hdata-tambda ver. Cq-coeff) 0.219586 0.4131825 Vt 0.2195873 0.383902 Vt 0.2336324 0.3334675 Vt 0.2431028 0.2781659 Vt 0.2662563 0.1249963 Vt 0.2662563 0.1249963 Vt 0.284611 0.000000 Vt 0.3867941 0.33867344 Vt 0.3229471 0.2371572 Vt 0.343841 0.3867344 Vt 0.343841 0.3867344 Vt 0.343857 Vt Vtemp No of temperatures 0.398688 0.7445405 Vtemp No of temperatures 0.398688 0.7445405 Vtemp No of temperatures 0.3080245 0.0131E-04 -0.0001E-04 0.5400939 1.37541968 Vtemp No of temperatures 0.3000024 0.0131E-04 -0.0000E-04 0.00018-04<	NRG BB	SO 40					Cup anemome	ter name ACCUWIND
0.76572 0.19924 31.5 1.16 Acal Bcal (U=Acal*f+Bcal) Tcal Denscal Ut 0.1375 Ut Cup model (1 CqPol(Npol-lalmQA0-pol-coeff) 2 CqTable(Ndata-lambda ve: Cq-coeff) 19 -0.0014 Nrcq LamCorr (model 2) (added lambda0 value at zero torque) 0.2195846 0.4131825 Nrcq LamCorr (model 2) (added lambda0 value at zero torque) 0.2258573 0.383902 State 0.2336324 0.3334675 State 0.2431028 0.2781659 State 0.2662563 0.1249963 State 0.2788921 0.0342945 State 0.284611 0.000000 State 0.2851037 -0.029559 State 0.3060246 -0.1173289 State 0.33841 -0.3867344 State 0.338588 -0.7445405 State 0.4389353 -1.3742118 State 0.5400939 -1.37541968 State 0.54089353 -1.374218 State 0.54089353 -1.374218 State 0.54089353 -1.374218 State 0.5408947 0.0131E-04 -0.0001E-04 <td>0.070</td> <td>0.0020</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>00101</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>R Area I N(pu</td> <td>lses/rev)</td>	0.070	0.0020	0.0	00101		2	R Area I N(pu	lses/rev)
0.1375 Ut 2 Cup model (1 CqP0(Npol+alamQA0+pol-codf) 2 CqTable(Ndata+lambda v: Cq-codf) 0.219584 0.4131825 0.2258573 0.383902 0.2336324 0.3334675 0.2336324 0.3334675 0.2431028 0.2781659 0.256553 0.1249963 0.28662563 0.1249963 0.2878921 0.0342945 0.298925 0.0369015 0.2919326 -0.0369015 0.3060246 -0.1173289 0.3387741 -0.3867344 0.3677941 -0.359267 0.398628 -0.7445405 0.4339353 -1.742118 0.439353 -1.3742118 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.34040-4 0.0016-04 -200 0.2670000 -0.0001E-04 -200 0.03490E-04 -0.0000E-04 -210 0.2480E-04 -0.0000E-04 -200 0.183E-04 -0.0000E-04 -200 <td>0.76572</td> <td>0.199</td> <td>24 32</td> <td>1.5 1</td> <td>L.16</td> <td></td> <td>Acal Bcal (U=A</td> <td>Acal*f+Bcal) Tcal Denscal</td>	0.76572	0.199	24 32	1.5 1	L.16		Acal Bcal (U=A	Acal*f+Bcal) Tcal Denscal
2 Cup model (1 CapGol(Npd+slamQADe-ped-ceff) 2 CapTable(Ndata-slambda or. Cup ceff) 19 -0.001+ Ncq LamCorr (model 2) (added lambda0 value at zero torque) 0.2195846 0.4131825 0.2258573 0.383902 0.23162324 0.3334675 0.2431028 0.2781659 0.2431028 0.2781659 0.2545438 0.2032274 0.2662563 0.1249963 0.2851037 0.0000000 0.2851037 0.00369015 0.3060246 -0.1173289 0.33677941 -0.5398267 0.343841 -0.3867344 0.3677941 -0.5398267 0.4383935 -1.3742118 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5400939 -0.1038F04 0.34040-04 0.0191E-04 -0.0000E-04 -0.0001E-04 -0.0001E-04 -0.0002E-04 0.0008E-04 -0.0001E-04 -0.0001E-04 -10 0.188E-04 0.0038E-04	0.1375						Ut	
19 -0.001 Ncq_LamCor (model 2) (added lambda0 value at zero torque) 0.2195 × 4 0.4131×25 0.2258 > 7 0.383 902 0.2353 24 0.333 4675 0.2431 02 0.2781 659 0.2545 35 0.124 963 0.2662 > 53 0.124 963 0.2861 1 0.000 00 0.288 1 0.002 955 0.302 274 0.032 955 0.3060 246 0.1173 289 0.3291 93 2 0.038 915 0.3292 471 0.038 915 0.3348 1 0.388 935 0.340 2507 1.065 1025 0.438 2507 1.065 1025 0.438 2507 1.05 1025 0.438 2507 1.0191 E04 0.540 2507 1.05 1025 0.438 2507 1.05 1025 0.438 2507 1.05 1025 0.438 2507 1.05 1025 0.438 2507 1.0191 E04 0.5908 267 0.0191 E04 0.5908 267 0.0191 E04 0.438 267 0.0138 E04 0.438 267 0.0138 E04 0.438 267 0.0391 E04	2						Cup model (1 CqPol(Npol+	alamQA0+pol-coeff) 2 CqTable(Ndata+lambda ver. Cq-coeff)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	-0.0014					Ncq LamCorr (mode	el 2) (added lambda0 value at zero torque)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.21958	346	0.413	1825				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.22585	573	0.383	902				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.23363	324	0.333	4675				
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.2545438 & 0.2032274 \\ 0.2662563 & 0.1249963 \\ 0.2788921 & 0.0342945 \\ 0.284611 & 0.000000 \\ 0.2851037 & -0.0029559 \\ 0.2919326 & -0.369015 \\ 0.3060246 & -0.1173289 \\ 0.3229471 & -0.2371572 \\ 0.3387344 & -0.3867344 \\ 0.3677941 & -0.5398267 \\ 0.398688 & -0.7445405 \\ 0.4362507 & -1.0651025 \\ 0.4382507 & -1.0651025 \\ 0.4389353 & -1.3742118 \\ 0.5400939 & -1.7541968 \\ 0.5898678 & -2.1130812 \\ 8 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.24310	028	0.278	1659				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.25454	438	0.203	2274				
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.2788921 & 0.0342945 \\ 0.284611 & 0.000000 \\ 0.2851037 & -0.0029559 \\ 0.2919326 & -0.0369015 \\ 0.3060246 & -0.1173289 \\ 0.3229471 & -0.2371572 \\ 0.3387344 & -0.3867344 \\ 0.3677941 & -0.5398267 \\ 0.398688 & -0.7445405 \\ 0.4362507 & -1.0651025 \\ 0.4362507 & -1.0651025 \\ 0.4389353 & -1.3742118 \\ 0.5400939 & -1.7541968 \\ 0.5898678 & -2.1130812 \\ 8 & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 1.3742118 & & & & & & & & & & \\ 0.5898678 & -2.1130812 & & & & & & & & & & & \\ 1.37421968 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.26625	563	0.124	9963				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.27889	921	0.034	2945				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.28462	11	0.000	0000				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.28510	037	-0.002	29559				
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0.3060246 & -0.1173289 & & & \\ 0.3229471 & -0.2371572 & & \\ 0.343841 & -0.3867344 & & \\ 0.3677941 & -0.5398267 & & \\ 0.3986888 & -0.7445405 & & \\ 0.4362507 & -1.0651025 & & \\ 0.4839353 & -1.3742118 & & \\ 0.5400939 & -1.7541968 & & \\ 0.5898678 & -2.1130812 & & \\ 8 & & & & & \\ 1.3742188 & & & & \\ 8 & & & & & & \\ -20 & 0.2670E-04 & 0.0191E-04 & -0.0001E-04 & & \\ -20 & 0.2670E-04 & 0.0133E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ -10 & 0.2219E-04 & 0.0080E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ -10 & 0.1985E-04 & 0.0035E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ 0 & 0.1985E-04 & 0.0034E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ 10 & 0.1896E-04 & 0.0034E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ 10 & 0.1824E-04 & 0.0034E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ 10 & 0.1824E-04 & 0.0034E-04 & -0.0000E-04 & & \\ 10 & 0.1824E-04 & 0.0024E-04 & & \\ 10 & 0.0024E-04 $	0.29193	326	-0.036	59015				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.30602	246	-0.117	73289				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.32294	471	-0.237	71572				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.34384	41	-0.386	57344				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.36779	941	-0.539	98267				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.39868	388	-0.744	45405				
0.4839353 -1.3742118 0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5898678 -2.1130812 8 Ntemp No of temperatures -30 0.3440E-04 0.0191E-04 -0.0001E-04 -20 0.2670E-04 0.0133E-04 -0.0000E-04 -10 0.2219E-04 0.0080E-04 -0.0000E-04 0 0.1985E-04 0.0055E-04 -0.0000E-04 10 0.1896E-04 0.0034E-04 -0.0000E-04 20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	0.43625	507	-1.065	51025				
0.5400939 -1.7541968 0.5898678 -2.1130812 8 Ntemp No of temperatures -30 0.3440E-04 0.0191E-04 -0.0001E-04 -20 0.2670E-04 0.0133E-04 -0.0000E-04 -10 0.2219E-04 0.0080E-04 -0.0000E-04 0 0.1985E-04 0.0055E-04 -0.0000E-04 10 0.1896E-04 0.0034E-04 -0.0000E-04 20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	0.48393	353	-1.374	42118				
0.5898678 -2.1130812 8 Ntemp No of temperatures -30 0.3440E-04 0.0191E-04 -0.0001E-04 -20 0.2670E-04 0.0133E-04 -0.0000E-04 -10 0.2219E-04 0.0080E-04 -0.0000E-04 0 0.1985E-04 0.0055E-04 -0.0000E-04 10 0.1896E-04 0.0034E-04 -0.0000E-04 20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	0.54009	939	-1.754	41968				
8 Ntemp No of temperatures -30 0.3440E-04 0.0191E-04 -0.0001E-04 -20 0.2670E-04 0.0133E-04 -0.0000E-04 -10 0.2219E-04 0.0080E-04 -0.0000E-04 0 0.1985E-04 0.0055E-04 -0.0000E-04 10 0.1896E-04 0.0034E-04 -0.0000E-04 20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	0.58986	578	-2.113	30812				
-300.3440E-040.0191E-04-0.0001E-04-200.2670E-040.0133E-04-0.0000E-04-100.2219E-040.0080E-04-0.0000E-0400.1985E-040.0055E-04-0.0000E-04100.1896E-040.0034E-04-0.0000E-04200.1824E-040.0024E-04-0.0000E-04	8						Ntemp No of te	emperatures
-200.2670E-040.0133E-04-0.0000E-04-100.2219E-040.0080E-04-0.0000E-0400.1985E-040.0055E-04-0.0000E-04100.1896E-040.0034E-04-0.0000E-04200.1824E-040.0024E-04-0.0000E-04	-30	0.34408	E-04	0.0191E-	04	-0.0001	E-04	
-100.2219E-040.0080E-04-0.0000E-0400.1985E-040.0055E-04-0.0000E-04100.1896E-040.0034E-04-0.0000E-04200.1824E-040.0024E-04-0.0000E-04	-20	0.26708	E-04	0.0133E-0	4	-0.0000	E-04	
0 0.1985E-04 0.0055E-04 -0.0000E-04 10 0.1896E-04 0.0034E-04 -0.0000E-04 20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	-10	0.22198	E-04	0.0080E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
10 0.1896E-04 0.0034E-04 -0.0000E-04 20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	0	0.1985	E-04	0.0055E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
20 0.1824E-04 0.0024E-04 -0.0000E-04	10	0.1896	E-04	0.0034E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
	20	0.18248	E-04	0.0024E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
30 0.1698E-04 0.0018E-04 -0.0000E-04	30	0.1698	E-04	0.0018E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
40 0.1622E-04 0.0014E-04 -0.0000E-04	40	0.16228	E-04	0.0014E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
	27	7					SOH tilt data,	Nalfa Valfa
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Valta	-30.138	1.069						
-30.138 1.069 SUH tilt data, Naita Vaita	-26.153	1.045						
-30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045	-22.144	1.019						
-30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019	-18.121	0.992						
-30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992	-14.097	0.98						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98	-10.087	0.982						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 -10.087 0.982	-6.071	0.989						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 -10.087 0.982 -6.071 0.989 -10.089	-4.033	0.991						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 -10.087 0.982 -6.071 0.989 -4.033 0.991	-3.044	0.994						
40 0.1622E-04 0.0014E-04 -0.0000E-04	40	0.16228	E-04	0.0014E-	04	-0.0000	E-04	
	27	7			•		SOH tilt data.	Nalfa Valfa
	27	/						
21 1 SUH tilt data, Naita Vaita	-30.138	1.069						
-30.138 1.069	-26.153	1.045						
-30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045	-22.144	1.019						
-30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019	-18.121	0.992						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 18 121 0.002	-10.121	0.992						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14 097 0.98	-14.09/	0.50						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 10.087 0.082	-10.08/	0.982						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 -10.087 0.982	-6.071	0.989						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 -10.087 0.982 -6.071 0.989	-4.033	0.991						
27 7 SOH tilt data, Naita Vaita -30.138 1.069 -26.153 1.045 -22.144 1.019 -18.121 0.992 -14.097 0.98 -10.087 0.982 -6.071 0.989 -4.033 0.991	-3.044	0.994						

-2.027	0.997
-1.014	0.997
-0.016	0.999
-0.013	1
-0.009	0.999
-0.002	1.001
0.018	1 001
1 024	1 001
2 02	1 003
3 031	1 005
4 048	1 004
6.05/	1 001
	0.001
1/ 1/2	0.95
19.142	1 009
20.100	1.008
22.107	1.045
20.209	1.00
30.144	1.043
27	10
-30.138	1.072
-26.153	1.049
-22.144	1.022
-18.121	0.994
-14.097	0.979
-10.087	0.98
-6.071	0.988
-4.033	0.991
-3.044	0.993
-2.027	0.997
-1.014	0.998
-0.016	0.999
-0.013	1
-0.009	1
-0.002	1.001
0.018	1.001
1.024	1.001
2.02	1.004
3.031	1.005
4.048	1.005
6.054	1.002
10.099	0.99
14.142	0.992
18.168	1.006
22.187	1.037
26.209	1.055
20 1 / /	1 042

Nalfa Valfa

15

Nalfa Valfa

27	13
-30.138	1.074
-26.153	1.051
-22.144	1.023
-18.121	0.996
-14.097	0.978
-10.087	0.98
-6.071	0.987
-4.033	0.991
-3.044	0.992
-2.027	0.996
-1.014	0.999
-0.016	0.999
-0.013	0.999
-0.009	1
-0.002	1.001
0.018	1.001
1.024	1.002
2.02	1.005
3.031	1.006
4.048	1.006
6.054	1.002
10.099	0.991
14.142	0.993
18.168	1.005
22.187	1.034
26.209	1.053
30.144	1.042

NRGBBO-066.cup Input File

NRG BBO	40				Cup anemome	ter name ACCUWI	ND
0.070 0.	.00200	0.000101	2	2	R Area I N(pu	lses/rev)	
0.76645	0.20439	31.7 1	.16		Acal Bcal (U=A	Acal*f+Bcal) Tcal	Denscal
0.15					Ut		
2					Cup model (1 CqPol(Npol+a	alamQA0+pol-coeff) 2 CqTable(N	data+lambda ver. Cq-coeff)
19 -0	.001				Ncq LamCorr (mod	el 2) (added lambda0 va	lue at zero torque)
0.2195846	5 0	4131825					
0.2258573	3 0	.383902					
0.2336324	4 0	.3334675					
0.2431028	80	.2781659					
0.2545438	80	.2032274					
0.2662563	3 0	.1249963					
0.2788921	1 0	.0342945					
0.284611	0	.0000000					
0.2851037	7 -().0029559					
0.2919326	5-0).0369015					
0.3060246	6 -C).1173289					
0.3229471	1 -0).2371572					
0.343841	-().3867344					
0.3677941	1 -0).5398267					
0.3986888	8-0).7445405					
0.4362507	7 -1	.0651025					
0.4839353	3 -1	3742118					
0.5400939	9 -1	7541968					
0.5898678	8 -2	2.1130812					
8					Ntemp No of te	emperatures	
-30 0.	3445E-0	0.0154E-0	- 04	0.0000E-04			
-20 0.	3011E-0	0.0084E-0	- 04	0.0000E-04			
-10 0.	2637E-0	0.0051E-0	- 04	0.0000E-04			
0 0.	2400E-0	0.0034E-0	- 04	0.0000E-04			
10 0.	2219E-0	0.0023E-0	- 04	0.0000E-04			
20 0.	2081E-0	0.0019E-0	- 04	0.0000E-04			
30 0.	2000E-0	0.0015E-0)4 -	0.0000E-04			
40 0.	1919E-0	0.0011E-0)4 -	0.0000E-04			
27 7					SOH Tilt Data	Nalfa Valfa	
-30.148 1.	079						
-26.154 1.	053						
-22.13 1.	023						
-18.108 0.	996						
-14.088 0.	982						
-10.085 0.	982						
-6.071 0.	991						
-4.041 0.	995						
-3.044 0.	997						

-2.032	0.998
-1.012	0.998
-0.009	1
-0.006	0.999
-0.005	1
0.002	1
0.005	1
1.02	1.002
2.018	1.003
3.013	1.004
4.031	1.004
6.059	1.001
10.09	0.99
14.133	0.994
18.169	1.014
22.166	1.054
26.176	1.073
30.125	1.06
27	10
-30.148	1.083
-26.154	1.057
-22.13	1.028
-18.108	0.998
-14.088	0.981
-10.085	0.982
-6.071	0.989
-4.041	0.994
-3.044	0.996
-2.032	0.997
-1.012	0.998
-0.009	1
-0.006	1
-0.005	1
0.002	0.999
0.005	1.001
1.02	1.002
2.018	1.004
3.013	1.005
4.031	1.005
6.059	1.002
10.09	0.992
14.133	0.993
18.169	1.011
22.166	1.049
26.176	1.071
30.125	1.067

27	13
-30.148	1.085
-26.154	1.058
-22.13	1.03
-18.108	0.999
-14.088	0.98
-10.085	0.981
-6.071	0.987
-4.041	0.993
-3.044	0.995
-2.032	0.996
-1.012	0.998
-0.009	1
-0.006	1
-0.005	1.001
0.002	0.999
0.005	1.001
1.02	1.003
2.018	1.004
3.013	1.005
4.031	1.005
6.059	1.003
10.09	0.992
14.133	0.993
18.169	1.009
22.166	1.047
26.176	1.07
30.125	1.071